

## RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS ADMITTING A CERTAIN CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION GROUP

YOSHIHIKO SUYAMA & YÔTARÔ TSUKAMOTO

### 1. Introduction

Several authors have studied compact Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal non-Killing vector field. The main results are as follows.

Let  $M$  be a connected  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold admitting a conformal non-Killing vector field.

(1) *If  $M$  is a complete Einstein space of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , then  $M$  is isometric to a sphere (Nagano-Yano [8]).*

(2) *If  $M$  is a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$  with parallel Ricci tensor, then  $M$  is isometric to a sphere (Nagano [5]).*

(3) *If  $M$  is compact and homogeneous, then  $M$  is isometric to a sphere provided  $n > 3$  (Goldberg-Kobayashi [2]).*

(4)  *$M$  can not be a compact Riemannian manifold with constant nonpositive scalar curvature (Yano [7], Lichnerowicz [4]).*

Recently S. Tanno and W. C. Weber [6] investigated compact connected Riemannian manifolds which have constant scalar curvature and admit a closed conformal vector field with certain conditions. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *If a compact connected Riemannian manifold  $M$  admits a closed conformal non-Killing vector field, then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a generalized twisted torus or a sphere.*

**Theorem 2.** *If a compact Riemannian manifold  $M$  with finite fundamental group admits a closed conformal non-Killing vector field, then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a sphere.*

**Theorem 3.** *If a compact connected Riemannian manifold  $M$  admits a closed conformal non-Killing vector field which vanishes at some point of  $M$ , then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a sphere.*

Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1, and Theorem 3 follows from the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $M$  be a compact connected  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric  $g$ . A vector field  $X$  on  $M$  is *conformal* if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad L_X g = 2\lambda g,$$

where  $L_X$  denotes the Lie derivation with respect to  $X$ , and  $\lambda$  is a differentiable function on  $M$  which is called the characteristic function of  $X$ . If  $X$  is a conformal non-Killing vector field, then  $\lambda$  is a non-constant function. Since  $M$  is compact,  $X$  generates a global 1-parameter group of transformations  $\varphi_t$  of  $M$ . Then condition (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.2) \quad (\varphi_t^* g) = f_t \cdot g,$$

where

$$f_t(p) = \exp \left( 2 \int_0^t \lambda(\varphi_u(p)) du \right), \quad p \in M.$$

If we put  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i \partial / \partial x^i$  in a coordinate neighborhood of  $M$  with local coordinate  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ , (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad \xi_{i;j} + \xi_{j;i} = 2\lambda g_{ij},$$

where  $g_{ij}$  are the components of  $g$  with respect to the coordinate system  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ ,  $\xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^n g_{ij} \xi^j$ , and “;” denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the coordinates system  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ . From now on, we assume that  $X$  is closed, that is to say,

$$(2.4) \quad \xi_{i;j} = \xi_{j;i}.$$

By (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$(2.5) \quad \xi_{i;j} = \lambda g_{ij}.$$

so that

$$(2.6) \quad \xi^i_{;j} = \lambda \delta^i_j,$$

where

$$\delta^i_j = \begin{cases} 1 & (i = j), \\ 0 & (i \neq j). \end{cases}$$

If we denote the divergence of  $X$  by  $\operatorname{div} X$ , from (2.6) follows immediately

$$\operatorname{div} X = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi^i_{;i} = n\lambda .$$

Let  $\bar{M}$  be an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold, and  $\varphi$  be a diffeomorphism of  $\bar{M}$ , and consider  $\bar{M} \times [0, a]$ ,  $a > 0$ . If  $M$  is a differentiable manifold obtained by identifying  $\bar{M} \times \{0\}$  and  $\bar{M} \times \{a\}$  in  $\bar{M} \times [0, a]$  by using the map  $\varphi$ , then we call it a generalized twisted torus.

Let  $N$  be a compact submanifold of  $M$ , and  $c$  be a geodesic starting from  $p \in N$  such that  $c$  is perpendicular to  $N$  at  $p$ . If the point  $q$  on  $c$  is the last point such that the subarc  $\bar{p}q$  of  $c$  is the shortest geodesic between  $q$  and  $N$ , then the point  $q$  is called *the cut point* of  $N$  along  $c$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem I

Setting  $M' \equiv \{p \in M \mid X_p \neq 0\}$ ,  $M'$  is an open subset of  $M$  so that  $M'$  is an open submanifold of  $M$ . Then there exists a distribution  $D$  of dimension  $n - 1$  on  $M'$  such that for all  $p \in M'$  we have

$$D_p \equiv \{Z \in M_p \mid g(Z, X) = 0\} .$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *The distribution  $D$  is differentiable involutive.*

*Proof.* Since  $X_p \neq 0$  for all  $p \in M'$  there exists a coordinate system  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  around  $p$  such that  $X$  coincides with the vector field  $\partial/\partial x^1$  in this coordinate neighborhood  $W$  (cf. Chevalley [1]). Setting

$$Y_i = \partial/\partial x^i - \frac{g(\partial/\partial x^1, \partial/\partial x^i)}{\|\partial/\partial x^1\|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n ,$$

the set  $Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  is a local basis for the distribution  $D$  in  $W$ . Thus  $D$  is differentiable and also involutive. In fact, for any two vector fields  $Z, Z'$  belonging to  $D$  we have

$$(3.1) \quad g([Z, Z'], X) = g(\nabla_z Z', X) - g(\nabla_{z'} Z, X) .$$

By (2.6) we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= Z \cdot g(Z', X) = g(\nabla_z Z', X) + g(Z', \nabla_z X) \\ &= g(\nabla_z Z', X) + \lambda g(Z', Z) , \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad 0 = Z' \cdot g(Z, X) = g(\nabla_{z'} Z, X) + \lambda g(Z', Z) ,$$

from which and (3.1) follows immediately  $g([Z, Z'], X) = 0$ . So  $[Z, Z']$  belongs to  $D$ , and  $D$  is involutive. q.e.d.

Hence there exists an integral manifold of  $D$  passing through each point of  $M'$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *There exists a point  $p$  on  $M$  such that  $\lambda(p) < 0$  and  $X_p \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{M}$  be an oriented 2-fold covering manifold of  $M$ , and  $\bar{X}$  a lift

of  $X$  by the covering map. Then  $\tilde{X}$  is a conformal vector field on  $\tilde{M}$ . Let  $\tilde{\lambda}$  be a characteristic function of  $\tilde{X}$ . Then we have  $\operatorname{div} \tilde{X} = n\tilde{\lambda}$  and

$$(3.4) \quad 0 = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\tilde{M}} \operatorname{div} \tilde{X} = \int_{\tilde{M}} \tilde{\lambda}.$$

Since  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is a non-constant function on  $\tilde{M}$ , two sets  $\{p \in \tilde{M} \mid \tilde{\lambda}(p) > 0\}$  and  $\{p \in \tilde{M} \mid \tilde{\lambda}(p) < 0\}$  are non-empty, and therefore so is  $\lambda$ .

Now we assume that  $X$  vanishes on the open set  $\mathcal{O}$ . For any vector fields  $Y, Z$  on  $M$  we have

$$(3.5) \quad (L_X g)(Y, Z) = X \cdot g(Y, Z) - g([X, Y], Z) - g(Y, [X, Z]) = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{O}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(L_X g)(Y, Z) = 2\lambda g(Y, Z),$$

which shows that  $\lambda$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Hence there exists a point  $p$  on  $M$  such that  $\lambda(p) < 0$  and  $X_p \neq 0$ . q.e.d.

Let  $U(p)$  be a neighborhood of  $p$ , where  $\lambda$  is negative and  $X$  never vanishes. Then

$$(3.6) \quad X \cdot g(X, X) = (L_X g)(X, X) = 2\lambda g(X, X),$$

which implies that  $g(X, X)$  decreases along the integral curve of  $X$  on  $U(p)$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *There exists a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  with local coordinate system  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  such that*

- (1)  $U$  is contained in  $U(p)$ ,
- (2)  $x^i(p) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ ,
- (3)  $|x^1| < a, |x^i| < b (i \geq 2)$  on  $U$ ,

(4) *the slice of  $U$  defined by the equation  $x^1 = \xi$ , where  $|\xi| < a$ , is an integral manifold of  $D$ ,*

(5) *if we put  $V \equiv \{q \in U \mid x^1(q) = 0\}$ , then the set  $\varphi_t(V)$  coincides with the set  $\{q \in U \mid x^1(q) = t\}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1. and Frobenius theorem (Chevalley [1]) we have a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  with a local coordinate system  $(y^1, \dots, y^n)$  which satisfies the conditions (1)–(4). Since  $V$  is an integral manifold of  $D$  and  $\varphi_t$  is a conformal transformation for a fixed  $t$ ,  $\varphi_t(V)$  is also an integral manifold, and  $X$  never vanishes on  $U(p)$ . So we can change  $y^i$  into  $x^i (i = 1, \dots, n)$  such that  $x^1(\varphi_t(p)) = t$ . Thus we have a desired coordinate system. q.e.d.

The value of  $g(X, X)$  is constant on any integral manifold of  $D$ . In fact, for any  $Z \in D$  we have

$$(3.7) \quad Z \cdot g(X, X) = 2g(\nabla_Z X, X) = 2\lambda g(Z, X) = 0.$$

Let  $N$  be a unique maximal integral manifold of  $D$  containing the point  $p$ . Then  $\varphi_t(N) \cap N = \emptyset$  for all  $t, 0 < |t| < a$ . By Lemma 3.3 and the above remark, the value of  $g(X, X)$  on  $U$  is constant on each slice and decreases as the parameter  $t$  increases. This shows that  $\varphi_t(V) \cap N = \emptyset$  and therefore  $\varphi_t(N) \cap N = \emptyset$ , for all  $t, 0 < |t| < a$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *The above maximal integral manifold  $N$  is an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional compact manifold.*

*Proof.* We shall show that the closure  $\bar{N}$  of  $N$  in  $M$  coincides with  $N$ . Let  $x$  be a point contained in  $\bar{N}$ , and  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence contained in  $N$  such that  $x_n$  converges to  $x$  in  $M$  as  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ . Since the value of  $g(X, X)$  is a non-zero constant on  $N$ ,  $g_x(X, X)$  is equal to this value, and so there exists a neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  in which the vector field  $X$  never vanishes. Now we take a coordinate neighborhood  $U'$  of  $x$  contained in  $U_x$  whose local coordinate system  $(x^1, \dots, x^n)$  has the same properties as in Lemma 3.3. If  $x^1$  is so taken that  $|x^1| < a' \leq a$ , then it is clear from the above remark of this lemma that in  $U'$  there exists at most one of those slices contained in  $N$ . If there does not exist such a slice, we can not take the sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset N$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore the slice passing through  $x$  is contained in  $N$ , so that  $x \in N$ . Moreover this shows that  $N$  has no boundary. q.e.d.

If  $N \cap \varphi_t(N) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $t$ , then  $N = \varphi_t(N)$ , because  $N$  and  $\varphi_t(N)$  are integral manifolds of  $D$ . Now we define the mapping  $F: t \rightarrow \varphi_t(N)$ . This mapping  $F$  is locally one-to-one. In fact, we have  $\varphi_t(N) \neq \varphi_{t'}(N)$  for  $t \neq t'$ ,  $-a < t - t' < a$ . Now we can consider the following two cases.

- (A) There exists  $t \neq 0$  such that  $N = \varphi_t(N)$ .
- (B) There does not exist  $t \neq 0$  such that  $N = \varphi_t(N)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *In the case (A),  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a generalized twisted torus.*

*Proof.* Let  $t_0$  be the minimum positive number such that  $\varphi_{t_0}(N) = N$ , and put

$$(3.8) \quad M'' \equiv \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} \varphi_t(N).$$

We shall show that  $M''$  is an open and closed subset of  $M$ , so that  $M = M''$ . To this end we first show that  $M''$  is open in  $M$ . For any point  $q \in M''$ , there exists  $s$  such that  $0 \leq s \leq t_0$  and  $q \in \varphi_s(N)$ . We take a neighborhood  $V'$  of  $q$  in  $\varphi_s(N)$  and a suitable positive number  $\varepsilon$ , so that the set  $\bigcup_{-t < t' < t} \varphi_{t'}(V)$  is an open set of  $M$  which contains the point  $q$ .

Next we shall show that  $M''$  is closed in  $M$ . For any point  $x$  of  $\bar{M}''$ , there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset M''$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we can write  $x_n = \varphi_{t_n}(y_n)$ , where  $0 \leq t_n \leq t$  and  $\{y_n\} \subset N$ , and can choose the convergent subsequences of  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$ , so that we can assume that  $y_n \rightarrow y, t_n \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $y \in N, 0 \leq s \leq t$ . Now we estimate  $d(x, \varphi_s(y))$ , where  $d$  is the metric function on  $M$ :

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} d(x, \varphi_s(y)) &\leq d(x, \varphi_{t_n}(y_n)) + d(\varphi_{t_n}(y_n), \varphi_{t_n}(y)) + d(\varphi_{t_n}(y), \varphi_s(y)) \\ &\leq d(x, \varphi_{t_n}(y_n)) + \bar{d}_{t_n}(\varphi_{t_n}(y_n), \varphi_{t_n}(y)) + d(\varphi_{t_n}(y), \varphi_s(y)) , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{d}_{t_n}$  is the metric function on  $\varphi_{t_n}(N)$ . On the right hand side of (3.9), the first and third terms converge to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So we need only to estimate the second term. For any point  $p \in N$ ,

$$(3.10) \quad g_{\varphi_t(p)}(X, X) = g_{\varphi_t(p)}(\varphi_t X, \varphi_t X) = (\varphi_t^* g)_p(X, X) = f_t(p) \cdot g_p(X, X) .$$

Since  $g(X, X)$  is constant on  $\varphi_t(N)$  for any  $t$ ,  $f_t(p)$  is independent of  $p \in N$ .  $f_t(p)$ , ( $p \in N$ ), is a continuous function of  $t$  and satisfies  $f_0(p) = 1, f_{t_0}(p) = 1$ . So we have the maximum value  $C$  of  $f_t(p)$  on  $[0, t_0]$ , and

$$(3.11) \quad \bar{d}_{t_n}(\varphi_{t_n}(y_n), \varphi_{t_n}(y)) \leq C^{1/2} \bar{d}_0(y_n, y) .$$

Since  $\bar{d}_0(y_n, y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\bar{d}_{t_n}(\varphi_{t_n}(y_n), \varphi_{t_n}(y)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This shows  $d(x, \varphi_s(y)) = 0$ , i.e.,  $x = \varphi_s(y)$ . Therefore  $\bar{M}'' = M''$ , and hence  $M''$  is closed in  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *In the case (B),  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ .*

*Proof.* Since from (2.6) we have  $\nabla_x X = \lambda X$ , for any point  $p \in N$  the curves  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  defined by

$$(3.12) \quad \tau \rightleftharpoons \{ \varphi_t(p) \mid t \in [0, \infty) \} ,$$

$$(3.13) \quad \tau' \rightleftharpoons \{ \varphi_t(p) \mid t \in (-\infty, 0] \}$$

are geodesics, and therefore their lengths  $L(\tau)$  and  $L(\tau')$  are independent of  $p \in N$ , due to the fact that  $g(X, X)(\varphi_t(p))$  is independent of  $p$  for fixed  $t$ . Now we divide our discussion into the following four cases:

- (a)  $L(\tau) = \infty$  and  $L(\tau') = \infty$ .
- (b)  $L(\tau) = \infty$  and  $L(\tau') < \infty$ .
- (c)  $L(\tau) < \infty$  and  $L(\tau') = \infty$ .
- (d)  $L(\tau) < \infty$  and  $L(\tau') < \infty$ .

*Case (a).* Let  $c$  be the curve defined by  $c = \{ c(t) \mid c(t) \rightleftharpoons \varphi_t(p), 0 \leq t < \infty, p \in N \}$ . Since  $M$  and  $N$  are compact and  $c$  is perpendicular to  $N$  at  $p$ , we have the cut point  $c(t_0)$  of  $N$  along  $c$ . If  $t_1 > t_0$ , then the shortest geodesic  $c'$  between  $c(t_1)$  and  $N$  is different from the subarc  $c \mid [0, t_1]$  of  $c$ , and the image of  $c'$  is integral curve of  $X$  because  $c'$  is perpendicular to  $N$  by construction. Hence the composite of  $c \mid [0, t_1]$  and  $c'$  is an extension of  $c \mid [0, t_1]$ . This contradicts to our assumption (B), so Case (a) never happens.

*Case (b).* We first show  $\varphi_t(N)$  converges to one point  $x$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . For any point  $y \in N$ ,  $\varphi_t(y)$  converges to a point  $y'$  as  $n \rightarrow -\infty$ . This implies  $X_{y'} = 0$ . Using the same argument as in (3.10), we have  $f_t(p) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . For any two points  $y, z \in N$ , let  $x(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1$ , be a curve in  $N$  joining  $y$  to  $z$ . Then for any fixed  $t$ ,  $\varphi_t(x(s)), 0 \leq s \leq 1$ , is the curve in  $\varphi_t(N)$  joining  $\varphi_t(y)$  to  $\varphi_t(z)$ . Now we estimate the length of this curve in  $\varphi_t(N)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.14) \quad \int_0^1 g(\varphi_t \dot{x}(s), \varphi_t \dot{x}(s))^{1/2} ds &= \int_0^1 (\varphi_t^* g)(\dot{x}(s), \dot{x}(s))^{1/2} ds \\
 &= \int_0^1 (f_t(p))^{1/2} (g(\dot{x}(s), \dot{x}(s))^{1/2} ds = (f_t(p))^{1/2} \int_0^1 g(\dot{x}(s), \dot{x}(s))^{1/2} ds .
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows  $\int_0^1 g(\varphi_t \dot{x}(s), \varphi_t \dot{x}(s))^{1/2} ds \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ , i.e.,  $d(y', z') = 0$ , where

$y' = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi_t(y)$ ,  $z' = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi_t(z)$ , and  $\dot{x}(s)$  is the tangent vector at  $x(s)$ .

For any  $s < 0$ , the curve  $\tau'(s) \equiv \{\varphi_t(p) \mid t \in [s, 0]\}$  is the shortest geodesic between  $\varphi_s(p)$  and  $N$ . In fact, if the curve  $\tau'(s)$  contains the cut point of  $N$  in its inner point, then we have a shortest geodesic  $\tau'_1$  between  $\varphi_s(p)$  and  $N$ , which is different from  $\tau'(s)$ . Since  $\tau'_1$  is perpendicular to  $N$ , we can denote  $\tau'_1$  by  $\tau'_1 = \{\varphi_t(q) \mid s < s' \leq t \leq 0\}$  or  $\tau'_1 = \{\varphi_t(q) \mid 0 \leq t \leq c\}$  for some  $q \in N$ . But we can easily show that these two cases do not happen. Hence  $\tau'(s)$  is the shortest geodesic between  $\varphi_s(p)$  and  $N$ .

For any  $y \in N$ , put  $\tau'[y] \equiv \{\varphi_t(y) \mid -\infty < t \leq 0\}$ . Then it has already been shown that  $L(\tau'[y])$  is independent of  $y \in N$  and  $\bar{\tau}[y] \equiv \tau'[y] \cup \{x\}$  is the shortest geodesic between  $x$  and  $N$ . This shows that for any  $t \in (-\infty, 0]$ ,  $\varphi_t(N)$  is a connected submanifold of  $S_x(l) = \{z \in M \mid d(x, z) = l\}$ , where  $l = d(x, \varphi_t(p))$ ,  $p \in N$ . Since from its construction  $\varphi_t(N)$  is an open and closed subset of  $S_x(l)$ , we have  $S_x(l) = \varphi_t(N)$ . For any  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , put  $\tau''(t) = \{\varphi_s(p) \mid -\infty < s \leq t\}$ . Then it has already been shown that  $\bar{\tau}''(t) = \tau''(t) \cup \{x\}$  is a geodesic joining  $x$  to  $\varphi_t(p)$ . By the same argument as above,  $\bar{\tau}''(t)$  does not contain the cut point of  $x$  along  $\tau''(t)$ . Since by the assumption  $L(\bar{\tau}''(t)) \rightarrow \infty$ , Case (b) never happens.

Case (c). This case can not happen in the same way as in Case (b).

Case (d). As we showed in Case (b),  $\varphi_t(N)$  and  $\varphi_{-t}(N)$  converge to  $x$  and  $x'$  respectively as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . For any  $y \in N$ , put  $\tau'' \equiv \{\varphi_t(y) \mid -\infty < t < \infty\}$ . Then  $\bar{\tau}''$  is a shortest geodesic joining  $x$  to  $x'$ , and  $L(\bar{\tau}'')$  is independent of  $y \in N$ . As we showed in Case (b),  $\varphi_t(N) = S_x(l) \equiv \{z \in M \mid d(x, z) = l, l = d(x, \varphi_t(p)), p \in N\}$ .

Put  $d(x, x') = r$ . Let  $M_x$  be the tangent space of  $M$  at  $x$ ,  $S^n$  an  $n$ -dimensional sphere of  $r/\pi$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ , and  $\bar{x}'$  the antipodal point of  $\bar{x} \in S^n$ . Then construct the mapping  $f: M \rightarrow S^n$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 f &\equiv \exp_{\bar{x}} \circ \iota \circ (\exp_x)^{-1} && \text{on } M - \{x'\}, \\
 f(x') &= \bar{x}',
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\exp_x$  (resp.  $\exp_{\bar{x}}$ ) is the exponential mapping at  $x$  (resp.  $\bar{x}$ ) whose domain of definition is the open ball in  $M_x$  (resp.  $S_{\bar{x}}$ ) of radius  $r/\pi$  and with the origin as its center, and  $\iota: M_x \rightarrow S_{\bar{x}}$  is an isometric isomorphism. Then  $f$  is a homeomorphism of  $M$  onto  $S^n$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *In the case (B),  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^n$ .*

*Proof.* For any two points  $y, z \in N$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &\equiv \{\varphi_t(y) \mid -\infty < t < \infty\}, & \bar{\gamma} &\equiv \gamma \cup \{x\} \cup \{x'\}, \\ \delta &\equiv \{\varphi_t(z) \mid -\infty < t < \infty\}, & \bar{\delta} &\equiv \delta \cup \{x\} \cup \{x'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the images of  $\bar{\gamma}$  and  $\bar{\delta}$  are two shortest geodesics joining  $x$  to  $x'$ . Let  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\alpha'$ ) be the angle between these two curves at  $x$  (resp.  $x'$ ). Then we have

$$\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\bar{d}_t(\varphi_t(y), \varphi_t(z))}{d(x, \varphi_t(y))}, \quad \alpha' = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\bar{d}_t(\varphi_t(y), \varphi_t(z))}{d(x', \varphi_t(y))},$$

where  $\bar{d}_t(\varphi_t(y), \varphi_t(z))$  is the distance between  $\varphi_t(y)$  and  $\varphi_t(z)$  on  $\varphi_t(N)$ , which is the same set as  $S_x(l) = \{w \in M \mid d(x, w) = l\}$  and  $S_{x'}(l') = \{w \in M \mid d(x', w) = l'\}$ , where  $l = d(x, \varphi_t(p))$  and  $l' = d(x', \varphi_t(p))$ ,  $p \in N$ . The proof of this is parallel to that of the lemma in Kobayashi-Nomizu [3, p. 170].

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_t(\varphi_t(y), \varphi_t(z)) &= f_t(y)^{1/2} \bar{d}_0(y, z), \\ (3.15) \quad d(x', \varphi_t(y)) &= \int_{-\infty}^t g_{\varphi_u(y)}(X, X)^{1/2} du = \int_{-\infty}^t g_{\varphi_u(y)}(\varphi_u X, \varphi_u X)^{1/2} du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^t (\varphi_u^* g)_y(X, X)^{1/2} du = g_y(X, X)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^t f_u(y)^{1/2} du, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha' &= \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f_t(y)^{1/2} \bar{d}_0(y, z)}{\left( \int_{-\infty}^t f_u(y)^{1/2} du \right) g_y(X, X)^{1/2}} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_{-t}(y)^{1/2} \bar{d}_0(y, z)}{\left( \int_t^{\infty} f_{-u}(y)^{1/2} du \right) \cdot g_y(X, X)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_t(y)^{1/2} \bar{d}_0(y, z)}{\left( \int_t^{\infty} f_u(y)^{1/2} du \right) \cdot g_y(X, X)^{1/2}}.$$

In order to prove  $\alpha = \alpha'$ , we estimate the ratio  $\alpha'/\alpha$ :



$$(3.16) \quad \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{-t}(y)^{1/2} \cdot \bar{d}_0(y, z)}{\left( \int_t^\infty f_{-u}(y)^{1/2} du \right) \cdot g_y(X, X)} \cdot \frac{\left( \int_t^\infty f_u(y)^{1/2} du \right) \cdot g_y(X, X)^{1/2}}{f_t(y)^{1/2} \bar{d}_0(y, z)},$$

where

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{-t}(y)^{1/2}}{f_t(y)^{1/2}} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp \int_0^{-t} \lambda(\varphi_u(y)) du}{\exp \int_0^t \lambda(\varphi_u(y)) du} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\exp \left( - \int_t^0 \lambda(\varphi_u(y)) du \right)}{\exp \int_0^t \lambda(\varphi_u(y)) du} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\exp \int_{-t}^t \lambda(\varphi_u(y)) du}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M$  is homeomorphic to  $S^n$ ,  $M$  is orientable and  $N$  is also orientable by the construction, so that

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M \lambda(x) dv = \int_N dv_1 \int_{-\infty}^\infty \left\{ \lambda(\varphi_u(x)) \exp \left( u \int_0^u \lambda(\varphi_t(x)) dt \right) \right\} du \\ &= \int_N \left[ \frac{1}{u} \left( \exp \left( u \int_0^\infty \lambda(\varphi_t(x)) dt \right) - \exp \left( u \int_0^{-\infty} \lambda(\varphi_t(x)) dt \right) \right) \right] dv_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $dv$  and  $dv_1$  are volume elements on  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. Since the integrand of the right hand side of (3.18) is independent of  $x$ , we have

$$\exp \left( \int_0^\infty \lambda(\varphi_t(x)) dt \right) = \exp \left( \int_0^{-\infty} \lambda(\varphi_t(x)) dt \right).$$

Hence we have

$$(3.19) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{-t}(x)^{1/2}}{f_t(x)^{1/2}} = 1.$$

Since the values of  $d(x', \varphi_t(y))$  and  $d(x, \varphi_t(y))$  are bounded, we obtain, in consequence (3.15),

$$(3.20) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^\infty f_u(y)^{1/2} du = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^\infty e_{-u}(y)^{1/2} du = 0,$$

which together with (3.19) and l'Hospital's theorem implies

$$(3.21) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_t^\infty f_u(y)^{1/2} du}{\int_t^\infty f_{-u}(y)^{1/2} du} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_t(y)^{1/2}}{f_{-t}(y)^{1/2}} = 1.$$

Hence by (3.19) and (3.21) we have

$$(3.22) \quad \alpha = \alpha'.$$

Now we construct a diffeomorphism of  $M$  onto  $S^n$ . We put  $d(x, x') = r$ . Let  $M_x$  be the tangent space of  $M$  at  $x$ ,  $S^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional sphere of radius  $r/\pi$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $\bar{x}'$  be the antipodal point  $\bar{x} \in S^n$ ,  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an orthonormal basis for  $M_x$ , and  $e_i'$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) be the tangent vector at  $x'$ , obtained by parallelly displacing  $e_i$  along the geodesic  $\exp_x te_i$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq r$ . By (3.22),  $e_1', \dots, e_n'$  is also an orthonormal basis for  $M_{x'}$ . Now we choose an orthonormal basis  $\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n$  for  $S^n_{\bar{x}}$ . Let  $\bar{e}'_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be the tangent vector at  $\bar{x}'$ , obtained by parallelly displacing  $\bar{e}_i$  along the geodesic  $\exp_{\bar{x}} t\bar{e}_i$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq r$ . Then  $\bar{e}'_1, \dots, \bar{e}'_n$  is also an orthonormal basis for  $S^n_{\bar{x}'}$ . Let  $\iota$  be the isometric isomorphism of  $M_x$  onto  $S^n_{\bar{x}}$  such that  $\iota(e_i) = \bar{e}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $\iota'$  be the isometric isomorphism of  $M_{x'}$  onto  $S^n_{\bar{x}'}$  such that  $\iota'(e'_i) = \bar{e}'_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now define two mappings  $f, f': M \rightarrow S^n$  by:

$$\begin{aligned} f &\equiv \exp_{\bar{x}} \circ \iota \circ (\exp_x)^{-1} && \text{on } M - \{x'\}, \\ f(x') &= \bar{x}', \\ f' &\equiv \exp_{\bar{x}'} \circ \iota' \circ (\exp_{x'})^{-1} && \text{on } M - \{x\}, \\ f'(x) &= \bar{x}. \end{aligned}$$

By the construction,  $f$  is a diffeomorphism of  $M - \{x'\}$  onto  $S^n - \{\bar{x}'\}$ ,  $f'$  is a diffeomorphism of  $M - \{x\}$  onto  $S^n - \{\bar{x}\}$ , and  $f = f'$ . Hence  $f$  is a diffeomorphism of  $M$  onto  $S^n$ .

### 3. Examples

In this section we give two examples of compact Riemannian manifolds admitting a closed conformal non-Killing vector field.

Example 1. In the  $(x, y)$ -plane, consider a curve  $y = \sin x + a$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq 2\pi$ ,  $a > 1$ . If we place this curve in the  $(x, y, z)$ -space and revolve it about the  $x$ -axis, then we obtain a smooth closed surface  $M'$  with boundary, on which we induce the natural Riemannian metric:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + (\sin x(r) + a)^2 d\theta^2,$$

where we put

$$r = \int_0^x \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 t} dt .$$

Now we obtain a compact Riemannian manifold  $M$  by identifying a boundary, with two components, of  $M'$  by an isometry of two circles. Then  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a torus or a Klein's bottle, and  $X = (\sin x(r) + a) \cdot \partial/\partial r$  is a closed conformal non-Killing vector field on  $M$  because it satisfies

$$L_X g = 2 \cos x(r) \frac{dx}{dr} g .$$

Example 2. In the  $(x, y)$ -plane, consider a smooth curve  $y = f(x)$ ,  $0 \leq x \leq l$ , such that  $f(0) = f(l) = 0$ ,  $f(x) > 0$  on  $(0, l)$  and  $(dx/dy)_{x=0} = (dx/dy)_{x=l} = 0$ . If we place this curve in the  $(x, y, z)$ -space and revolve it about the  $x$ -axis, then we obtain a smooth closed surface  $M$  on which we induce the natural Riemannian metric:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + f(x(r))^2 d\theta^2 ,$$

where we put

$$r = \int_0^x \sqrt{1 + f'(t)^2} dt .$$

Thus  $M$  is diffeomorphic to a sphere  $S^2$ . If we set  $f(x) = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{l^2} \left(x - \frac{l}{2}\right)^2}$ ,  $X = f(x(r))\partial/\partial r$ , then  $X$  is a closed conformal non-Killing vector field on  $M$ , because it satisfies

$$L_X g = 2 \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx}{dr} g .$$

### References

- [ 1 ] C. Chevalley, *Theory of Lie groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.
- [ 2 ] S. Goldberg & S. Kobayashi, *The conformal transformation groups of a compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1962) 378-381.
- [ 3 ] S. Kobayashi & K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1963.
- [ 4 ] A. Lichnerowicz, *Géométrie des groupes de transformations*, Dunod, Paris, 1958.
- [ 5 ] T. Nagano, *The conformal transformations on a space with parallel Ricci tensor*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **11** (1959) 10-14.
- [ 6 ] S. Tanno & W. C. Weber, *Closed conformal vector fields*, J. Differential Geometry **3** (1969) 361-366.
- [ 7 ] K. Yano, *The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1957.

- [ 8 ] K. Yano & T. Nagano, *Einstein spaces admitting a one-parameter group of conformal transformations*, Ann. of Math. **69** (1959) 451-461.

KYUSHU UNIVERSITY